

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN

District of

TENNESSEE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

FREDYS I. RODRIGUEZ

*Defendant*

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: 1:05-CR-72

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

## Part I—Findings of Fact

(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a  federal offense  state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is  a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.  an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_ \*

a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.

(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.

(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the  date of conviction  release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).

(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

## Alternative Findings (A)

(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & (b)(1)(A), § 846  under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

## Alternative Findings (B)

(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.

(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

## Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by  clear and convincing evidence  a preponderance of the evidence that

I find clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and by the preponderance of the evidence that defendant is a risk of flight. Defendant is indicted for offenses which trigger the rebuttable presumption under 18 U.S.C. 3142(e). Based on the nature of the instant offense which is inherently dangerous to the community, the continuing nature of the offenses alleged in the indictment or shown by proof, the assessment of the pretrial services officer, the rebuttable presumption, and the strength of the evidence in the instant offense, I conclude that defendant is a danger to the community and a risk of non-appearance and that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that can assure defendant's appearance or the safety of any other person and the community. Defendant must therefore be detained without bail.

## Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

July 27, 2005

Date



Signature of Judge

Susan K. Lee, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section I of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).